Identification of Grandchildless Loci Whose Products Are Required for Normal Germline Development in the Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans
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ABSTRACT

To identify genes that encode maternal components required for development of the germ line in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, we have screened for mutations that confer a maternal-effect sterile or "grandchildless" phenotype: homozygous mutant hermaphrodites produced by heterozygous mothers are themselves fertile, but produce sterile progeny. Our screens have identified six loci, defined by 21 mutations. This paper presents genetic and phenotypic characterization of four of the loci. The majority of mutations, those in mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4, affect postembryonic germline development; the progeny of mutant mothers undergo apparently normal embryogenesis but develop into agamic adults with 10–1000-fold reductions in number of germ cells. In contrast, mutations in mes-1 cause defects in cytoplasmic partitioning during embryogenesis, and the resulting larvae lack germ-line progenitor cells. Mutations in all of the mes loci primarily affect the germ line, and none disrupt the structural integrity of germ granules. This is in contrast to grandchildless mutations in Drosophila melanogaster, all of which disrupt germ granules and affect abdominal as well as germ-line development.

THE question of how cell fates are specified and expressed in developing organisms remains a key unresolved issue in developmental biology. One of the best studied embryonic lineages is the germ lineage. In a wide variety of organisms, the primordial germ cells are set aside very early in development. A long history of experimentation suggests that in these organisms, cytoplasmic localization plays a major role in determining which cells follow a germ-cell fate [see Davidson (1986) for review]. As early as 1910, Boveri showed that in Ascaris, a localized region of polar ooplasm, the germ plasm, determines which cells become germ-line precursors. More recently, cytoplasmic transplantation experiments in Drosophila melanogaster have demonstrated that polar plasm from oocytes or early embryos is sufficient to induce the formation of primordial germ cells or "pole cells" at ectopic locations in embryos (Illmensee and Mahowald 1974, 1976; Illmensee, Mahowald and Loomis 1976). This indicates that a primary determinant of germ cells is provided maternally and localized in the egg cytoplasm. Further evidence in support of the importance of maternal factors comes from genetic analysis of the grandchildless-knirps class of genes in D. melanogaster. These genes were identified by maternal-effect lethal mutations that cause two developmental defects. Embryos from homozygous mutant mothers fail to form pole cells and display defects in abdominal segmentation (Boswell and Mahowald 1985; Schüpbach and Wieschaus 1986; Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard 1986). Both defects are thought to result from a failure in formation of polar granules, cytoplasmic organelles that normally are localized at the posterior end of oocytes and early embryos and in the pole cells and germ cells of later stages. Consistent with this, at least one of the grandchildless-knirps genes, vasa, is known to encode a polar granule component (Hay et al. 1988). These results, along with the observation that germ-line-specific granules are nearly ubiquitous among species, have led to the model that germ granules carry maternally provided determinants of germ-cell fate.

In this paper, we describe a genetic approach to identifying maternal factors that control germ-line determination and development in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. In C. elegans, the germ lineage arises from the P4 founder cell, which is generated early in development via a series of unequal, stem-cell-like divisions (DePpe et al. 1978). Like the pole cells in Drosophila, P4 and its descendants contain germ-line-specific granules, termed P granules. However, instead of being prelocalized in the oocyte, P granules are progressively partitioned to the germ lineage during the series of unequal divisions (Strome and Wood 1982; Wolf, Priess and Hirsh 1983). Although P granules are excellent candidates for germ-cell determinants, at present it is not known what role, if any, they play in specification or expression of germ-cell fate.

To identify maternal components that contribute to development of a normal germ line, we have screened for and characterized grandchildless muta-
tions in *C. elegans*. We describe four loci that appear to encode maternal factors required primarily, if not exclusively, for germ-line development. One locus is required for generation of the germ-line progenitor cells, and the other three loci are required for normal post-embryonic proliferation of the germ line. In contrast to mutations in the *grandchildless-knirps* genes in Drosophila, none of the *C. elegans* grandchildless mutations analyzed to date appear to affect the assembly of germ granules.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**General techniques and strains:** Maintenance and genetic manipulation of *C. elegans* were carried out described by Brenner (1974). Strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center. In addition, *sqt-3[e151]* was a gift from M. K. Johnson; *hdp-20* was a gift from C. E. Osborn and J. Rose; *mes-1[e1222]* and *mes-1[e962]* were gifts from E. Lambie and J. W. Kimble; *lin-25[e911]*, *arDfl* and *rol-4[e128]* were gifts from S. Tuck and I. Greenwald; *itDj2* was a gift from K. Kemphues. *C. elegans* variety Bristol, strain N2 was the wild-type parent of all nematode strains used. Markers, duplications and deficiencies used are listed by chromosome:

1: *unc-1[e47], unc-38[e264], dpy-5[e61], lev-10[e157], edf1, edf2*, *hdp-20*.
2: *unc-4[e120], rol-1[e91], lin-7[e1413], unc-52[e444].
3: *unc-32[e189], dpy-18[e164].
4: *unc-5[e53], unc-2[e138], fem-3[e225], dpy-20[e1282], lin-4[e1147], edf-2[e601], edf-18, edf-19. 
5: *dpy-10[e224], rol-4[e88], sqt-3[e63], lin-25[e543], him-5[e1490], edf1, *itDj2*.
6: *lon-2[e678], lin-2[e1309], unc-9[e101], unc-84[e1410], unc-3[e151].

Standardized *C. elegans* genetic nomenclature, as described by Horvitz et al. (1979), has been used. All grandchildless mutations isolated have been given designations *mes* for maternal-effect sterile mutation.

Nonconditional *mes* mutations were maintained as balanced stocks. *mes-2* stocks were balanced with *mmC1*, which suppresses recombination over 80% of chromosome II (Her- man 1978). *mes-4* and *mes-5* stocks were balanced with the reciprocal translocation chromosomes *nt1[unc-754]let*[IV, V] (Ferguson and Horvitz 1985). This balancer (abbreviated *D-nt1*) has a dominant Unc and recessive lethal phenotype. *mes-3* stocks were maintained as heterozygotes over a marked chromosome I or balanced with either the free duplication *sdP2* (1; 1) (Rose, Baillie and Curran 1984) or *hdp-20* (1; 1; 1) (McKim and Rose 1990).

**Identification of *mes* mutations:** The general screen used to identify *mes* mutations is shown in Figure 1. Ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) mutagenesis were performed as described in Brenner (1974) except that concentrations used ranged from 24 to 60 mM. Three variations of this screen were used. (1) Either N2 or *him-5* or *egl-23 him-3* LA hermaphrodites were mutagenized and transferred to plates in groups of approximately 20. *F₀* hermaphrodite progeny were picked to individual plates, allowed to lay 20–40 embryos and then removed. *F₁* progeny were scored as adults, and broods displaying excessive lethality or any sterility were discarded. The *F₂* adults were washed off the plates to restrict their brood size. *F₃* embryos, which stuck to the plates, were allowed to mature, and the plates were scored for the presence of any sterile animals. Candidate broods containing sterile animals were tested for maternal-effect sterility as follows. Ten to 20 fertile hermaphrodite siblings were picked to individual plates and allowed to lay a small clutch of embryos. If the candidate brood carried a *mes* allele then usually one or more of the individuals picked was a homozygous mutant animal and produced sterile progeny. The stock was then maintained from sister plates containing heterozygous siblings. Ten *mes* alleles were isolated from 5974 haploid genomes screened. (2) The mutagenized strain was either *dpy-5* or *dpy-5, lev-10*. Mutagenized animals were mated to wild-type or *him-3* males and outcross *F₁* progeny were picked and screened as above. Eight *mes* alleles were isolated from 2953 haploid genomes screened. (3) *dpy-11, D-nt1* hermaphrodites were mutagenized. *F₁* Unc progeny were picked and screened as above except that the *F₂* animals had small enough broods that washing the adults off was unnecessary. *Six mes* alleles were isolated from 2292 haploid genomes screened. For all three types of screens, mutagenized animals were raised at either 16° or room temperature (22°–24°), and all progeny were maintained at room temperature.

Newly isolated alleles were linkage mapped by mating *mes+* males to *dpy-5* I, *rol-1* I; *unc-32 III* or *unc-4* IV; *dpy-11* V; *lon-2 X* hermaphrodites. *F₂* outcross progeny were picked to individual plates and allowed to lay 20–30 embryos at 25°, then transferred to a fresh plate at 16° to lay the rest of their brood. The *F₂* generations on the 25° plates were scored for the presence or absence of sterile animals to identify *F₁* *mes* heterozygotes. *F₂* Rol, Unc, Lon or Dpy progeny from these *mes* heterozygous *F₁* animals were picked from the 16° plates. Their progeny were scored for fertility or sterility, and markers showing significant deviations from a 3:1 fertile:sterile ratio were judged to be linked to the *mes* mutation being tested.

Once several complementation groups had been identified, newly induced *mes* alleles were often not linkage mapped. Instead, they were assigned to loci according to the results of complementation tests. *mes+* males were mated to appropriately marked *mes-1, mes-2, mes-3, mes-4,*
mes-5 or mes-6 strains, and F₁ outcross hermaphrodites were scored for production of fertile or sterile progeny. If a newly induced mes mutation failed to complement an assigned allele, it was two- or three-factor mapped to the same chromosomal location to insure that it was not a second-site noncomplementing mutation.

**Mapping of mes loci:** The map positions of the six mes loci identified are shown in Figure 2. Positions were assigned on the basis of two- and three-factor mapping as described below. In general, trans three-factor mapping was carried out by constructing mes/m₁ m₂ strains (where m₁ and m₂ are appropriate markers) and cloning F₁ M₁ non-M₂ and M₂ non-M₁ recombinant progeny. Two generations later, the F₃ progeny were scored for the presence or absence of sterile animals to determine whether the mes allele was present in the F₁ recombinant. cis two-factor mapping was performed by constructing m mes/++ strains, cloning F₁ progeny and scoring their progeny for sterile or fertile offspring.

**mes-1 (X):** mes-1 was most precisely mapped using the allele bn7. Two-factor crosses relative to lon-2, unc-84 and unc-9 place it approximately 13 map units to the right of lon-2 and 3 map units to the left of unc-9. It was also three-factor mapped relative to lin-2 unc-9. None of the 20 Lin non-Unc and all of the 21 Unc non-Lin recombinants had acquired the bn7 allele, suggesting that mes-1 is to the left of lin-2. This was confirmed by the isolation of a bn7 lin-2 unc-9/+ lin-2 unc-9 recombinant from a bn7 +/+ lin-2 unc-9 heterozygote. The other alleles of mes-1 were mapped to the same place as follows: bn24 was cis three-factor mapped relative to lon-2 unc-9; bn52 was two-factor mapped relative to lon-2; bn56, q222 and q367 were three-factor mapped relative to lon-2 unc-3.

**mes-2 (II):** The allele bn11 was used to position mes-2. Two-factor data relative to unc-4 and rol-1 place it on the right end of the chromosome near unc-52. Three-factor crosses with lin-7 unc-52 place bn11 between the two markers (2/13 Lin non-Unc recombinants picked up the mes-2 allele). The other two alleles, bn27 and bn48, were two-factor mapped relative to unc-4 and rol-1 respectively to place them at the same locus as bn11.

**mes-3 (I):** The map position of mes-3 was determined by three-factor mapping the allele bn35 relative to unc-38 dpy-5. Thirteen/42 Unc non-Dpy and 40/55 Dpy non-Unc recombinants picked up bn35, placing it between the two markers. It was also mapped to the left of unc-63 by two
criteria: first, three-factor mapping relative to unc-53 dpy-5 resulted in 9/32 Unc non-Dpy and 26/26 Dpy non-Unc recombinants that contained bn35; second, a bn35 unc-63 dpy-5/+ unc-63 dpy-5 recombinant was isolated from the heterozygous strain bn35 +/+ unc-63 dpy-5. The other two mes-3 alleles, bn21 and bn53, mapped to the same position when three-factor mapped relative to unc-11 dpy-5. mes-4 (V): bn23 was the most carefully mapped mes-4 allele. A three-factor cross between bn23 and dpy-11 unc-76 produced 66/83 Dpy non-Unc and 27/81 Unc non-Dpy recombinants that contained bn23, placing it two map units to the left of unc-76. It was mapped to the right of lin-25 by two criteria: first, a lin-25 bn23 +/+ lin-25 + unc-76 recombinant was isolated from a lin-25 unc-76 bn23 heterozygote; second, the deficiency arDf1, which extends leftward from lin-25 (S. Tucker, personal communication), complements bn23: 20 arDf1/sqt-3 bn23 hermaphrodites, which were Squ produced fertile progeny. It was placed to the left of him-5 as follows: first, a rol-4 unc-76 bn23 him-5 heterozygote produced Rol non-Unc recombinant progeny that were subsequently shown to have recombined between bn23 and him-5 (i.e., rol-4 + him-5 +/+ rol-4 + + unc-76); second, the deficiency iDf2, which extends rightward from him-5 (K. Kempues, personal communication), complements bn23: 83 iDf2/bn23 him-5 hermaphrodites, which were Him, produced fertile progeny. The other three mes-4 alleles, bn50, bn38, and bn67, were three-factor mapped to the same position relative to dpy-11 unc-76. mes-5 (III): The mes-5 allele, bn37, was three-factor mapped relative to dpy-17 unc-32: 6/9 Unc non-Dpy and 3/9 Dpy non-Unc recombinants picked up the mes-5 allele, placing it between the two markers. mes-6 (IV): The mes-6 locus was mapped most precisely using the allele bn38. A three-factor cross relative to unc-24 dpy-20 produced 9/40 Unc non-Dpy and 18/25 Dpy non-Unc recombinants that contained bn38, placing it between the two markers. In addition, three-factor mapping relative to unc-5 fem-3 placed it approximately 0.5 map unit to the left of fem-3 (93/88 Unc non-Fem recombinants picked up bn38). The other three alleles, bn64, bn66, and bn69, were three-factor mapped to the same position relative to unc-24 dpy-20.

Complementation tests with chromosomal deficiencies: The chromosome I deficiency sDf4 fails to complement all mes-3 alleles. mes-3 dpy-5/+/ males were mated to hermaphrodites of the genotype sDf4/bn-4(e397) dpy-14(e188). Because the deficiency removes the dpy-5 locus, Dpy-5 outcross hermaphrodites were cloned; these animals are mes-3 dpy-5/sDf4. Eleven/16 mes-3(bn21) dpy-5/sDf4 mothers produced, 12/13 mes-3(bn35) dpy-5/sDf4 mothers and 8/9 mes-3(bn53) dpy-5/sDf4 mothers produced sterile progeny. The remaining animals did not survive to adulthood or produced no progeny at all. These last two phenotypes do not appear to be caused by the mes-3 alleles since the parental hermaphrodite strain behaves in a similar manner.

The chromosome IV deficiencies eDf18 and eDf19 fail to complement all mes-6 alleles. Individual Df/+ males were mated with mes-6/D-n T(IV); dpy-11/D-n T(IV) or mes-6 dpy-20/D-n T(IV); +/+ D-n T(IV) hermaphrodites, and 20 non-Dpy, non-Unc F1 progeny were cloned. Half the progeny should have been mes-6 and approximately half the animals produced sterile progeny.

Maternal effect tests: The strictness of the maternal effect was tested by mating wild-type males to appropriately marked mes hermaphrodites and scoring outcross progeny (m mes/+; phenotypically wild type) and self-cross marked progeny (m mes/m mes) for sterility or fertility. Greater than 500 outcross progeny or the total broods of ten mes mothers

were scored. For the temperature-sensitive alleles, crosses were carried out at 25°C.

Embryonic lethality and expressivity: Homozygous mutant mes hermaphrodites were picked to individual plates at L2/L4, 16°C or 25°C, and transferred to fresh plates every 24 or 12 hr, respectively. Plates were scored for unhatched embryos in sufficient amount of time after the mother had been removed (>24 hr for 16°C experiments and >12 hr for 25°C experiments). Hatched progeny were allowed to grow to adulthood, counted and scored for fertility or sterility.

mes-3(bn21)/sDf4 hermaphrodites were constructed by crossing bn21(II); him-5(1V) males to dpy-5/sDf4 hermaphrodites (hermaphrodites are phenotypically Dpy) and shifting individual L4 outcross hermaphrodite progeny to 25°C. bn21/sDf4; him-5/+ animals were distinguished from bn21/dpy-5; him-5/+ siblings by the absence of Dpy progeny. Embryonic lethality and expressivity experiments were carried out as described above.

Immunofluorescence and nuclear staining: Embryos and larvae were fixed and stained for P granules as described in Strome and Wood (1983). Monoclonal antibodies used included K76 (Strome and Wood 1983), OIC1D4, PIF4B11, PIG4, N123.A3 and P11A4H4A6 (Strome 1986). Adult animals were fixed and stained with diamidino-phenolindole (DAPI) as previously described (Strome and Wood 1983). All staining was visualized on a Zeiss Axioskop equipped with Nomarski differential interference contrast and epifluorescence optics.

Postembryonic germ-line proliferation: Wild-type and mes larvae were staged according to the number and position of vulval precursor cells (Sulston and Horvitz 1977) and fixed as previously described (Strome and Wood 1983). L4 and adult animals were stained with DAPI while earlier stages were stained with anti-P-granule antibodies as well as DAPI (see above). Each data point plotted in Figure 5 represents the average of at least nine larvae. The full genotype of the mes-2 larvae was unc-4(e120)mes-2(bn11). unc-4(e20) larvae exhibit wild-type germ-line proliferation (P. Martin, unpublished results) so any reduction seen is a result of the mes-2 allele.

RESULTS

Screen for grandchildless mutants: We have used the screen diagrammed in Figure 1 to identify grandchildless genes in C. elegans. This screen takes advantage of the fact that worms lacking embryos are easily distinguished from fertile animals at the resolution of the dissecting microscope (Figure 3). In sterile animals, the space normally taken up by embryos is empty, resulting in an easily-scored clear phenotype. Animals carrying newly induced grandchildless or maternal-effect sterile (mes) mutations were identified as F1 heterozygotes that produced fertile progeny in the F2 generation and fertile plus sterile worms in the F3 generation. This screen allows isolation of recessive alleles in genes whose products are maternally supplied and required for normal germ-line development. It will not identify dominant alleles, mutations in non-maternal-effect genes required for germ-line development, or mutations in genes required for additional developmental processes unless rare alleles result in a Mes phenotype. We expected to isolate mes mutations that result from defects in cytoplasmic partitioning
because weak alleles of par genes, a class of maternal-effect lethal genes, exhibit an incompletely expressed Mes phenotype. Strong par mutations disrupt cytoplasmic partitioning during the first few embryonic cleavages causing embryonic lethality (KEMPHUES et al. 1988). The Mes phenotype of these mutations suggests that germ-line development is sensitive to partitioning defects. In addition to partitioning mutations, we expected to isolate mutations in genes encoding maternally provided products required for generation, determination, proliferation, or differentiation of the germ line or establishment of the appropriate germ-cell environment, all of which might also cause a Mes phenotype.

We have screened 11,219 EMS-mutagenized haploid genomes and isolated and characterized 19 mes alleles. These have been assigned to six complementation groups (Table 1). The mes-5 locus is the only one for which multiple alleles have not been obtained; it may represent a rare maternal-effect allele of a gene whose null phenotype is not Mes (for example, see PERRIMON et al. 1986). For the other five loci, alleles have been isolated at a frequency characteristic of loss-of-function mutations in C. elegans (BRENNER 1974). All mutations are fully recessive: heterozygous mothers produce one quarter homozygous mes hermaphrodites, which are themselves fertile, but produce sterile progeny.

Figure 2 shows the map position of each of the mes loci. Two of the six map to regions for which deficiencies exist. The chromosome I deficiency, sDf4, fails to complement mes-3 alleles and the chromosome IV deficiencies, eDf18 and eDf19, fail to complement mes-6 alleles. In both cases, mes/Df hermaphrodites are themselves fertile, but produce sterile progeny, suggesting that the Mes phenotype is the loss-of-function and perhaps the null phenotype.

Four of the complementation groups, mes-1, mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4 have been well characterized, and a description of how these grandchildless mutations affect germ-line development is the subject of this paper. The Mes sterile phenotype is expressed in the progeny of homozygous mutant mothers. For the purposes of this paper, the terms "mes progeny," "mes embryo" and "mes larva" refer to the offspring of a homozygous mes mother.

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Genotype of mother</th>
<th>Number of broods scored</th>
<th>Percent sterile self-cross</th>
<th>Percent sterile outcross</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lon-2(e678) mes-1</td>
<td>bn7ts&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>54&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc-4(e120) mes-2</td>
<td>bn11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rol-1(e91) mes-2</td>
<td>bn27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-3 dpy-5(e61)</td>
<td>bn48</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dpy-11(e224) mes-4</td>
<td>bn52ts</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-3</td>
<td>bn53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-4</td>
<td>bn56ts</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Crosses were done at the restrictive temperature. For other alleles, the data are from crosses done at 16° or both 16° and 25°.

<sup>b</sup> These values are not significantly different according to a Student t-test with d.f. = 20, 0.1 < P < 0.2.

<sup>c</sup> Fewer than 1% fertile progeny.
crosses between lon-2 mes-1(bn7) hermaphrodites and wild-type males resulted in no significant difference between the percent sterile outcross progeny and the percent sterile self-cross progeny (Table 2), suggesting that neither paternal nor zygotic expression of the wild-type mes-1 gene rescues the sterility.

All alleles of mes-2 and mes-4 are nonconditional and the grandchildless phenotype is fully expressed: homozygous mothers produce 100% sterile progeny (Table 3). One of the mes-3 alleles, bn21, is a conditional mutation that is fully expressed at the restrictive temperature. The other two are nonconditional: mes-3(bn35) is fully expressed, while mes-3(bn35) mothers occasionally produce a low number of fertile progeny (fewer than 1%). In contrast, all mes-1 alleles are temperature-sensitive and incompletely expressed.

The germ lineage is not necessary for embryonic viability (SULSTON et al. 1983). In order to determine whether the mes loci are required for essential embryonic processes, lethality of mes embryos was compared to that of wild-type embryos (Table 3). None of the mes alleles tested showed embryonic lethality that is significantly different from wild type, indicating that the mes gene products do not affect developmental processes that are essential for the production of viable embryos. In addition, neither mes-3(bn21)/sDf4 mothers (Table 3) nor mes-6(bn66)/eDf18 mothers (C. GARVIN and D. BREAZEALE, unpublished results) produced significantly more inviable embryos than +/+ controls, suggesting that the null phenotypes for mes-3 and mes-6 do not include high levels of maternal-effect embryonic lethality. However, mes-3(bn21)/sDf4 mothers produced more fertile progeny than mes-3(bn21) mothers (Table 3). The significance of this result is unclear, although it has been observed that the genetic background of a mes-3 strain can affect the expressivity of the Mes phenotype (E. CAPOWSKI, unpublished results).

Although mes mutations do not affect embryonic viability, they are associated with some adult phenotypes in addition to the maternal-effect sterility. For all four loci, fertile homozygous mes mothers have a reduced average brood size relative to wild-type controls (Table 3). This suggests that the mes mutations may affect the germ line of the fertile mes mothers as well as the sterile mes progeny. In addition, a small percentage of mes sterile progeny display secondary phenotypes. mes-1 and mes-3 sterile hermaphrodites occasionally show vulval defects: fewer than 4% of mes-1 sterile offspring have multiple vulvae and fewer than 1% of mes-3 sterile offspring have protruding vulvae. Also, a small number of mes-4 sterile progeny exhibit a "sickly" phenotype: the animals appear sluggish and stiff. It is not clear what the relationship is between these minor maternal-effect phenotypes and the Mes phenotype. Overall, based on the analyses described above, it appears that mutations in mes-1, mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4 primarily affect development of the germ line.

**Embryonic germ-line development in mes progeny:** We have assessed embryonic germ-line development mainly by scoring for the presence of the two germ-line progenitor cells, Z2 and Z3, in newly hatched L1 larvae. These two cells are the daughters of the germ-line founder cell, P4, which is generated at the 16- to 24-cell stage of embryogenesis. P4 and its daughters can be visualized by Nomarski or by staining with antibodies to P granules, cytoplasmic organelles that are maternally contributed to the zygote, partitioned to the P4 cell and associated with the germ-line descendants of P4 throughout development (STROME and WOOD 1982). In addition to serving as markers for the germ lineage, P granules serve as an indicator of cytoplasmic partitioning during the early embryonic cleavages.

mes-1 larva display abnormal P granule-staining patterns indicative of partitioning defects during embryogenesis. Figure 4, c-f, and Table 4 show the range of P granule-staining patterns observed in L1 larvae from mes-1(bn7ts) mothers raised at the restrictive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype of mother</th>
<th>Percent sterile progeny</th>
<th>Percent embryonic lethality of progeny</th>
<th>Average brood size of mother</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn24ts</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q222ts</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q367ts</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn21ts</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn35</td>
<td>100'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn53</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn23</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn57</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn38</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-3(bn21)/sDf4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/sDf4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* >1500 F1 progeny were scored for each genotype except for mes-3(bn21)/sDf4 where nine broods were scored and +/+sDf4 where 13 broods were scored.

+ Average brood size of >10 mothers.

[Table 3](#) Expressivity, embryonic lethality and brood size associated with mes alleles
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temperature. Forty-six percent of the larvae had normal staining in Z2 and Z3 (Figure 4, c and d, Table 4), with a subset of these animals showing additional staining along the anterior body wall (Figure 4d). In half of the animals, no staining was detectable in the region of the germ-line progenitor cells, but other cells along the anterior body wall stained positively (Figure 4e, Table 4). In a small number of animals, no P granule staining was detectable (Figure 4f); these animals contained an antibody to a pharyngeal antigen (data not shown), indicating that they were permeable to antibody and suggesting that P granules were not present. The mes-l larval staining experiment was carried out on populations of L1 larvae, half of which were stained while the other half were allowed to grow to adulthood at the restrictive temperature and scored for fertility or sterility. Sixty-two percent of the mes-l adult hermaphrodite progeny were sterile (Table 4). This is slightly greater than the number of L1s that lacked P granule staining in the region of Z2 and Z3, suggesting that in a small percentage of mes-l animals, sterility can occur when P granules are present in Z2 and Z3. Detailed lineage analysis has recently revealed that those mes-l larvae that lack P granule staining in the region of Z2 and Z3 lack Z2 and Z3 altogether, and that this is probably due to defects in division and cytoplasmic segregation during embryogenesis (P. MARTIN, J. PAULSEN and S. STROME, manuscript in preparation). Thus, the mes-l locus appears to participate in the generation of the germ line during embryogenesis; defects in this process lead to the production of sterile adults.

In contrast, the progeny of mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4 mutant mothers appear to undergo normal embryonic germ-line development. Mutant L1 larvae contain Z2 and Z3, and those cells stain positively for P granules. Figure 4b shows P-granule staining in a mes-2(bn11) L1 larva; mes-3 and mes-4 L1 larvae show the identical staining pattern. Staining was carried out with at least three different anti-P granule monoclonal antibodies to test for multiple P granule epitopes; all antibodies tested resulted in P granule-staining patterns identical to wild type. To verify that the normal pattern of P granule staining in L1s reflects normal P granule partitioning during embryogenesis, mutant embryos

![Image](image-url)
FIGURE 5.—Postembryonic germ-line proliferation in wild-type, mes-2(bnl1), mes-3(bn21) and mes-4(bn50) animals. L1, L2, L3 and L4 are the four larval stages. Inset is an expansion of the bottom tenth of the plot to show the mes mutant proliferation patterns.

were examined. They also show normal patterns of P granule staining (data not shown). These results suggest that mutations at the mes-2, mes-3 or mes-4 loci neither disrupt the structural integrity of P granules nor affect their partitioning to the germ lineage. Furthermore, these maternal-effect mutations do not appear to affect the generation or first division of the germ lineage.

Postembryonic germ-line development in mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4 progeny: As described above, wild-type larvae hatch with two germ-line progenitor cells, Z2 and Z3. These begin to divide late in the L1 stage and continue to proliferate throughout the three subsequent larval stages, reaching a level of approximately 1000 germ nuclei per gonad in the adult hermaphrodite (for a more detailed description, see Kimble and White 1981). mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4 larvae also hatch with two apparently normal germ-line progenitor cells, which begin to divide late in the L1 stage, but the numbers of germ nuclei do not accumulate to wild-type levels. To assay germ-line proliferation, animals at different stages of development were stained with DAPI or DAPI plus anti-P granule antibodies, and germ nuclei were counted. The data collected for the most severe alleles of mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4, along with data from wild-type animals, are plotted in Figure 5. For mes-4(bn50) larvae, it appears that only a few rounds of division occur before proliferation ceases; adults have essentially the same number of germ nuclei (12) as mutant L3 larvae. mes-2(bnl1) larvae exhibit slightly more proliferation than mes-4(bn50) animals, accumulating up to 80 germ nuclei per gonad by the L4 larval stage. The average number of germ nuclei then decreases, with adults having on average 9 per gonad. mes-3(bn21ts) progeny raised at the restrictive temperature exhibit a very similar phenotype, with the number of germ nuclei also reaching a maximum of 80 germ nuclei per gonad in L4 larvae and dropping to an average of 14 germ nuclei in adults.

Figure 6 shows the adult phenotypes of the three
**TABLE 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype of mother</th>
<th>Germ nuclei per gonad</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>1069 ± 150</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-2</td>
<td>9 ± 14</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn6</td>
<td>4 ± 8</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-3</td>
<td>14 ± 28</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn53</td>
<td>25 ± 37</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn35</td>
<td>182 ± 97</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mes-4</td>
<td>15 ± 11</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn23</td>
<td>12 ± 10</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn6</td>
<td>27 ± 15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bn58</td>
<td>162 ± 156</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean ± standard deviation.

* Full genotype of mother was unc-4(eZ2U) mes-2.

* bn21 mothers and progeny were raised at the restrictive temperature.

mes loci. Hermaphrodites were fixed and stained with DAPI. In wild-type hermaphrodites, the germ nuclei are organized in two U-shaped gonad arms (Figure 6, a and c). Mitotic nuclei are located at the distal tip of each arm and meiotic nuclei and differentiating gametes are located more proximally (HIRSH, OPPENHEIM and KLAS 1976). The reduction in number of germ nuclei found in mes adults is strikingly apparent (Figure 6, b, d and e). None of the sterile hermaphrodites produced gametes, although meiotic figures resembling nuclei in diakinesis have occasionally been seen in sterile progeny of mes-4(bn58), the weakest mes-4 allele. Table 5 lists numbers of germ nuclei per gonad found in mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4 sterile adult hermaphrodites. The mutations show differing degrees of severity: mes animals bearing more severe alleles produce few or no germ nuclei. Animals carrying weaker alleles produce more germ nuclei, but still show approximately tenfold reductions in number relative to wild-type controls. In all cases, variability among individual animals was observed, as reflected in the large standard deviations. However, this apparently wide variability may reflect small variations in the number of divisions undergone by the germ nuclei.

In conclusion, mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4 progeny exhibit abnormal germ-line proliferation during larval development and fail to form mature gametes, resulting in sterile adults.

**DISCUSSION**

By screening for maternal-effect sterile mutations, we have identified six loci whose products are provided maternally and required for normal germ-line development in *C. elegans*. Mutations in these genes appear to affect two different phases of germ-line development: generation of the germ-line founder cell during embryogenesis and proliferation of the germ line during postembryonic development. All of the *C. elegans* grandchildless mutations appear to primarily affect the germ line and none affects the structural integrity of germ granules. This is in contrast to grandchildless mutations identified in *D. melanogaster*, all of which disrupt germ-granule structure and affect abdominal development as well as germ-line development (see below).

The majority of mes mutations, those in mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4, affect post-embryonic germ-line proliferation. (Preliminary analysis of mes-6 indicates that it also belongs in this class of genes; C. GARVIN and D. BREAZEALE, unpublished results.) Early germ-line development in mutant progeny is normal: the germ-line founder cell is generated, divides once during embryogenesis, and begins to proliferate late in the L1 stage. However, accumulation of germ nuclei in mes progeny lags behind that found in wild-type animals. mes-4 mutant animals exhibit the most severe proliferation defect. In mes-4(bn50) progeny, the number of germ nuclei does not increase past the number found in mutant L3 larvae. It is not known whether this defect is caused by cessation of nuclear divisions after the L3 stage, or if germ nuclei continue to divide but undergo concomitant degeneration. It appears that nuclear degeneration does occur in the germ line of mes-2(bn11) and mes-3(bn21) sterile animals, where germ nuclei accumulate until the L4 larval stage, after which the average number decreases. As with mes-4, the number of germ nuclei in mes-2 and mes-3 sterile adult hermaphrodites may reflect continued division and concomitant degeneration. In all three cases, mitotic figures have not been observed in DAPI-stained later stage animals such as those shown in Figure 6. However, this does not rule out the possibility that a small number of germ nuclei divide infrequently.

The abnormal proliferation seen in mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4 animals could be due to defects in germ-cell identity or proliferation or differentiation. These could include defects in: (1) specification of germ-cell identity; (2) germ-line maintenance of germ-cell identity; (3) somatic maintenance of germ-cell identity; (4) delivery or functioning of maternal "proliferation factors" in the germ line; (5) somatic regulation of germ-line proliferation; (6) the ability of germ cells to differentiate; (7) somatic regulation of germ-line differentiation. Possibilities one, two, four and six imply that the focus of mes gene activity should be in the germ lineage, while possibilities three, five, and seven would suggest a somatic focus of activity. Unfortunately, mosaic analysis cannot be used with maternal-effect mutations to determine in which tissue the gene product is required; maternal-effect genes must be expressed in the mother for normal development of
tissues in the progeny. The availability of molecular probes for each of the mes genes might help resolve the issue of where the gene products are located and are likely to function.

No gametes are produced in mes-2, mes-3 or mes-4 sterile progeny. Possibilities one, two and three described above predict that germ cells cannot undergo gametogenesis because their germ-cell identity is lost. Similarly, possibilities six and seven predict a failure in the ability of the germ cells to initiate or execute gametogenesis. In contrast, according to possibilities four and five, the mutant germ nuclei present may be capable of forming gametes, but fail to do so because there is not sufficient proliferation to allow them to escape the influence of the somatic distal tip cells. The role of the distal tip cell is to maintain a mitotic stem cell population within the germ line, either by promoting mitosis or inhibiting meiosis in nearby germ nuclei (Kimble and White 1981). One way to determine whether germ nuclei in sterile mes hermaphrodites are capable of gametogenesis is to eliminate the influence of the distal tip cells. This can be done surgically by laser-ablating the distal tip cells or genetically using mutations at the glp-1 locus. Both approaches cause all of the mitotic germ nuclei to enter meiosis and differentiate into gametes (Kimble and White 1981; Austin and Kimble 1987). We are currently constructing mes; g lp-1 double mutants and plan to ablate the distal tip cells in mes animals to test the capacity of mes germ nuclei to differentiate into gametes.

In contrast to mes-2, mes-3 and mes-4, progeny of mes-1 mothers show defects in embryonic germ-line development and fail to generate germ-line progenitor cells. This absence of germ-line cells may be the result of abnormal cytoplasmic partitioning and cleavage asymmetry during the embryonic division that generates the germ-line founder cell (P. Martin, J. Paulsen and S. Strome, manuscript in preparation). Such defects are reminiscent of the defects observed in embryos produced by par mutant mothers. Strong alleles of the par genes affect partitioning and cleavage asymmetry during the initial divisions of the zygote, those that normally generate essential somatic founder cells (Kemphues et al. 1988). As a result, strong par mutations are maternal-effect embryonic lethal. Weak par alleles display an incompletely expressed Mes phenotype, similar to the phenotype of mes-1. In fact, the abnormal patterns of P granule staining seen in L1 progeny of mes-1 mothers are also observed in the progeny of par-2 mothers carrying the weak allele, e2030 (S. Strome, unpublished results). This raises the possibility that all six alleles of mes-1 are weak alleles of a new partitioning-defective, maternal-effect lethal locus. Alternatively, mes-1 may specifically affect partitioning and division pattern at a later stage of embryogenesis than the par genes, leading to defects in the germ lineage but not in other lineages essential for embryo viability. The latter possibility is favored by the low embryonic lethality associated with mes-1 mutations and may explain the abnormal P granule-staining patterns in mutant L1s. The extra staining cells in the anterior body wall, shown in Figure 4, d and e, could be descendants of the embryonic founder cell D into which P granules were incorrectly partitioned. Experiments are currently in progress to address these issues.

An intriguing aspect of mes-1 mutations is that all six alleles exhibit a fully penetrant, incompletely expressed, temperature-sensitive Mes phenotype. If this is the null phenotype, then it suggests that in the absence of wild-type mes-1 gene product, generation of the germ-line founder cell is a stochastic process that is sensitive to temperature. Maternal provision of wild-type mes-1 product guarantees the success of the process at both low and high temperature. There are several other loci in C. elegans where all mutations, even putative null mutations, are temperature-sensitive. These include two genes involved in nuclear migrations during development, two dauer-formation genes and two sex-determination genes (Sulston and Horvitz 1981; Golden and Riddle 1984; Hodgkin 1986). These mutations are thought to reveal either an inherently temperature-sensitive developmental process or one that becomes temperature-sensitive in the absence of wild-type gene product. An example of a locus in which all alleles are incompletely expressed is the Drosophila grandchildless gene, tudor (Boswell and Mahowald 1985). Mutant animals exhibit 30–50% maternal-effect embryonic lethality, suggesting that the tudor gene product, like the mes-1 gene product, may be involved in a stochastic process.

The Mes phenotype appears to be the loss-of-function phenotype for at least two and perhaps five of the six loci identified in our grandchildless screens. For mes-3 and mes-6, mes alleles hemizygous to deficiencies behave similarly to homozygous mes alleles, suggesting that maternal-effect sterility may be the null phenotype. For mes-1, mes-2 and mes-4, both the frequency of isolation of mutant alleles and the similarity of phenotype displayed by different alleles of each locus suggest that maternal-effect sterility is the loss-of-function phenotype. Assuming that the mes alleles are loss-of-function mutations, we have used the frequency of isolation (24/11,000 haploid genomes) and the forward mutation rate for our EMS treatment (3–4 × 10⁻⁴ mutations/locus/haploid genome) to estimate that there are six to eight mes loci in the C. elegans genome. Although these calculations assume that maternal-effect genes involved in germ-line development are equally mutable and that there is little or no functional redundancy, they suggest that
we may have identified the majority of loci whose products are provided maternally and required primarily or solely for normal germ-line development.

Drosophila is the only other organism in which grandchildless mutations have been isolated. The grandchildless mutants in Drosophila produce embryos that lack detectable polar granules, and at least one of the grandchildless genes, \textit{vasa}, encodes a polar-granule component (Boswell and Mahowald 1985; Schüpbach and Wieschaus 1986; Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard 1986; Hay \textit{et al.} 1988). In contrast, none of the \textit{mes} mutations in \textit{C. elegans} appears to disrupt the structural integrity of P granules. Thus, if any of the \textit{mes} genes do encode P-granule components, they are not required for granule assembly and stability. If one of the identified \textit{mes} genes encode P-granule components, and if the genome is close to saturation for this class of mutant, then our results suggest that either P granules do not participate in maternal control of germ-line development in \textit{C. elegans} or they function in an additional process. When we screen for \textit{mes} mutations, we screen for sterile animals in the F, generation. Thus, we might not recover mutations in P granule components as \textit{mes} alleles if they affect the fertility of the F, homozygous mutant worms or the viability of their progeny. Most of the Drosophila grandchildless mutations were isolated in embryonic lethal screens and all affect embryonic viability as well as the structural integrity of polar granules and formation of the germ line. We have taken advantage of the hermaphrodite genetics of the nematode and the ease of visualizing sterile animals to isolate the pure grandchildless mutations described in this paper. Further analysis of the \textit{mes} loci should shed light on how germ-cell fate is specified and expressed in \textit{C. elegans}.
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