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Abstract

So few baiji (Lipotes vexillifer) survive, and opportunities to observe them in the wild are so rare, that the rudimentary data gathered during three brief encounters in 1987 and 1989 were considered worth analyzing and reporting. It must be acknowledged, however, that these observations may not be representative of the animals’ natural behavior, as would have been observed in earlier times when they were much more abundant and widespread in the Yangtze River. Groups of two, two, and three (briefly four) baiji were observed on 18 November 1987, 22 March 1989, and 30 March 1989, respectively, for a total of about seven hours of concentrated study. The group on 30 March was joined briefly by a group of five finless porpoises (Neophocaena phocaenoides). All of the baiji appeared to be travelling for the entire time of observations, with no indication of social interactions or feeding. The mean respiration rate of the 30 March group was 1.21 ± s.d. 0.106 per minute during seven recording bouts. This group, followed for almost ten hours, crossed the river five times, apparently to minimize resistance as they travelled upriver against the current. Their minimum average ground speed was 2.4 km/hr in a current of about 2–3 km/hr, making their actual speed about 5 km/hr. Respiratory behavior of the wild baiji was similar to that of a captive male baiji during its daytime, non-feeding mode of behavior.

Methods

While the baiji has generated considerable international and Chinese national attention (e.g. Zhou and Zhang 1991, Chen and Liu 1992), surveys for population estimates as well as studies of behavior have been generally unsystematic and of short duration (Zhou et al. 1998). The lone baiji in captivity, the adult male “Qi-Qi” at the Wuhan Institute of Hydrobiology, has been studied far more intensively than wild baiji in the river (e.g. Liu and Wang 1989, Liu et al. 1994, Yang et al. 1997).

In autumn 1987 and again in spring 1989, we were fortunate to observe baiji at two disparate locations in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River, enabling us to describe their basic patterns of behavior. While we had hoped to build on these data in a long-term study of baiji behavior, now – about 10 years later – it appears unlikely that much additional information will be obtained, given the present scarcity of sightings. We therefore present what information we have, compare it to the behavioral descriptions of the lone baiji in captivity (Yang et al. 1997), and discuss how our limited data might be extrapolated.

Introduction

The Chinese river dolphin, or baiji (Lipotes vexillifer), is suffering a chronic and possibly irreversible decline largely due to human use of the Yangtze River (Chen and Hua 1989, Leatherwood and Reeves 1994, Wang et al. 1998, Zhou et al. 1995, 1998). It is classified as Critically Endangered by the IUCN (IUCN 1996) and has been declared a national treasure “of the first order” by the People’s Republic of China (Wang 1989).
passing by; and on 30 March 1989, we again followed three
and briefly four baiji from a 7m wooden fishing vessel.
During follows, we tended to be 100 to 300 meters behind
and slightly to the side of the travelling animals. Thus, we
did not have clear views to distinguish individual baiji
except briefly when the vessel was close or alongside the
animal. Observations were made by naked eye from the
fishing vessels but with nine power binoculars from the
stationary platform near shore on 22 March 1989. In all
cases, a primary observer dictated behavioral descriptions
into a portable tape recorder. At the same time, one
secondary observer photographed the dolphins when
possible, and a third observer recorded weather, location,
and other observations on data sheets.

In the laboratory, observer voice recordings were
transcribed; and respiration data were entered into a
computer spreadsheet and graphed. Statistical analyses
were not attempted because of small data sets and the
realization that our several days and only about seven
hours of observations may present a biased, uncharacteristic
view of the species.

Results

In 1987, baiji were seen on three of four census days on the
river, within 10km of the city of Chenglingji, not far from
Dongting Lake, and about 1,287km from the Yangtze
River mouth at Shanghai. We followed two baiji for 44
minutes on 18 November; and one and three were seen
briefly by fishermen working for the research crew, on 19
and 20 November, respectively. Unfortunately, we do not
know whether any of these represented repeat sightings.

On 18 November, the two apparently adult baiji
travelled downriver (with the current), 15.16–16.00h local
time. They moved within about 200m of one side of the
river, which was 600–800m wide in this area. Our
observation vessel, a 7m wooden fishing boat with a one-
cylinder outboard motor, followed generally 150–200m
behind the dolphins. Travel was judged to be “medium
speed,” with no whitewater along the flanks of the animals
as they surfaced, estimated to be about 4km/hr (which
made distance covered 7–8km/hr because of the generally
swift currents of the area). The first surfacing after each
longer-than-60 second dive tended to be with head and
rostrum exposed, so we could clearly distinguish facial
markings and a variably dark “brush-like” shading behind
the eyes of one individual (Fig. 1).

In general, we were not able to dictate the fishing
vessel’s movements to suit our research interests, and
stayed too far behind the dolphins for reliable surfacing
data. However, short sequences when we were briefly
closer revealed the following, with dives longer than 60
seconds underlined: Animal “A” surfaced and respired in
second intervals of 12, 7, 15, 15, 68, 13, 18, 22, 17, 16, 79, 18,
20, 15, and 13 before we lost sight of it. Animal “B”
surfaced and respired in second intervals of 16, 12, 14, 25,
82, 25, 28, 17, 14, 72, 22, 18, and 15 before it, too, was lost.
Short “inter-dive” respiration intervals were between 7 and
28 seconds, and there were three to five of these between
the four longer dives. Dolphin “A” exhibited a short dive mean
of 15.5 ± s.d. 3.82sec (n=13), and Dolphin “B” showed a
mean of 18.7 ± s.d. 5.39 seconds (n=11). Both animals were
lost from view when they dived abruptly approximately
eight meters in front of a fast-moving barge.

On 22 March 1989, two baiji were encountered travelling
upriver past a mid-river island. Observations were made
from a stationary vessel on shore, from a distance of about
200m. The location was at Yubanzhou, in a channel of the
mainstem of the river, almost exactly 600km from the
mouth of the river at Shanghai. This set of observations
was the only one without an observation vessel moving
with the animals, and thus may represent the “least-
disturbed” baiji encounter described in this note.

The two baiji were observed from 13.23.11 (hours,
minutes, seconds, local time) to 14.03.34, or for 40.4min.
They travelled approximately 75 to 100m apart, with one
dolphin (“A”) in front and the other one (“B”) almost
directly behind. Travel was again “medium speed”, or about
4km/hr. However, because the dolphins were travelling

![Figure 1. Dolphin “A” of 18 November, 1987 (left); and a different Dolphin “A” of 22 March 1989; in both cases
to show the pigmentation markings along the head that make recognition possible by photography.](image-url)
upriver into a current of about 1–3 km/hr, they made slow progress, covering only about one kilometer along the mid-river island during the 40.4 min of observations. This explains why we were able to watch the traveling dolphins for so long from a stationary viewing site.

As in 1987, surfacings after a long dive tended to be with head and rostrum exposed. Again, we were able to distinguish animals by markings on the head and back. Baiji “A” was slightly smaller than “B” and had a lighter head and back, with heavy criss-cross scarring on its back. Baiji “B” was less scarred and appeared to be darker overall.

The surfacing/respiration pattern for both animals is summarized in Figure 2. While long dives were always greater than 60 seconds (“A” mean = 110.4 ± s.d. 28.70 sec, n=11; “B” mean = 102.8 ± s.d. 31.02 sec, n=11), there were three to five shorter respiration intervals between them, all lasting less than 35 seconds (“A” mean = 17.2 ± s.d. 5.79 sec, n=49; “B” mean = 19.3 ± s.d. 5.79 sec, n=53). Figure 2 shows the remarkable consistency in surfacing/dive patterns for the approximately 37 minutes of observation of each dolphin, with the unproved possibility of “bouts” of several long and several short dives by both baiji (compare the longer dives around 500 and 1700 seconds for both dolphins with shorter dives at the beginning, middle, and end of observations. Overall, the respiration rates were 60 respirations per 34.26 min, or 1.75/min, for dolphin “A”; and 64 respirations per 35.88 min, or 1.78/min, for dolphin “B”.

**Figure 2.** Surfacings and dives, which were correlated fully with respirations, for two dolphins observed for slightly longer than one-half hour, 22 March 1989. Note the high regularity of short respiration intervals and longer dives.

On 30 March 1989, dolphin researchers from the Wuhan Institute of Hydrobiology observed a group of three, briefly four, baiji from 07.15 to 17.00, or for almost ten hours. During that time, the animals travelled mainly upstream, with occasional meandering, for a total distance of 24 km, or at a minimum average speed of approximately 2.4 km/hr. Since the current was estimated at about 2–3 km/hr for that area (Chen Peixun, pers. observ.), the dolphins may have travelled at a speed of about 5 km/hr.

Several of us travelled with the baiji from 09.45 to 15.00, and described respirations of three, briefly four, animals during seven bouts when we could count all respirations. Unfortunately, our distance of generally 100–300 m from the dolphins only occasionally allowed us to differentiate them, and we were forced to count all surfacings during these bouts of better observations, and for overall estimates of numbers of blows per individual – divide by the three (briefly four) animals present in the group. These data are presented in Table 1, and they show a mean of 1.21 ± s.d. 0.106 respirations/min (n=7 bouts).

Three dolphins travelled together, generally within 5 to 15 m of each other, throughout the day. A smaller individual, possibly a subadult, travelled close beside a larger dolphin, and a second even larger one was generally ahead of the two, by up to 15 m. From at least 12.23 through 12.38 (see Table 1), these three were joined by another large animal. This large individual travelled in the lead, and while it was present, the other three dolphins bunched closely together, trailing behind. We did not see the large “newcomer” approach or leave, but are certain from at least one almost synchronous set of surfacings that there were four individuals in the group for at least 15 minutes.

**Table 1.** Surfacing data on baiji travelling upriver on 30 March 1989. Because we could not clearly distinguish between individual dolphins from our vantage point almost directly behind them, we logged all surfacings during seven unequivocal bouts of data gathering, and calculated mean respirations per minute by dividing by the number of dolphins present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Number of Minutes</th>
<th>Number of Bajji</th>
<th>Number of Respirations</th>
<th>Respirations/Minute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.06.53–10.14.49</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.42.32–11.04.49</td>
<td>22.28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.20.54–11.48.40</td>
<td>27.75</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00.39–12.11.39</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.23.14–12.38.13</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.49.10–13.06.45</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.15.28–14.33.17</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The three “primary” dolphins crossed from one side of the river to the other on at least five occasions. At times, this crossing took place abruptly, apparently bringing the dolphins into the lee of currents at the far side of a river bend, and away from forceful or swift currents just before a river bend. However, our observations of crossovers are not numerous enough to “prove” that the baiji use a particular travelling strategy consistently.

Baiji were seen with finless porpoises (Neophocaena phocaenoides), at least briefly, during all but the 22 March 1989 encounter. On 18 November 1987, at least five finless porpoises appeared to join the two travelling baiji for approximately five minutes. There was no sign of change in headings, speed, or behavior of the baiji. During the long “follow” of 30 March 1989, two to five finless porpoises accompanied the three “primary” baiji on four occasions, staying with them for two min. to as long as 25 min. Again, it was our impression that the finless porpoises approached the baiji, while the baiji did not appear to change their travel direction or speed. The baiji in two other sightings reported to us by fishermen (on 19 and 20 November 1987) were also said to have had finless porpoises near or with them, but we obtained no further information on this point.

Discussion

The baiji described here were all in groups of two to three, with one brief grouping of four. Chen and Hua (1989) reported that during a survey between November 1985 and February 1986, most of the groups observed were larger than five, with some of them containing up to nine to 16 animals. The small groups that we encountered may not represent the optimal group size for this species. The fact that three dolphins stayed together for at least 10 hours while they travelled several dozen kilometers indicates some degree of fidelity among adults. In the present cases, however, we are ignorant of sex, age, and possible kinship among the group members.

All three of the groups that we observed were travelling, with no indications of socializing, playing, or resting. The dolphins may well have been feeding while travelling, but we did not see fish or other prey in their mouths, and have no further information on this point. Swimming speeds and surfacing/dive/respiration patterns were remarkably consistent among groups, among animals within a group, and for individuals over time. We are reluctant to call the behavior of baiji “consistent,” however, because we appear to have observed them only during the one behavioral mode of travel. At any rate, the two dolphins of 18 November 1987 averaged surfacing intervals of 15 to 19 seconds, and dives of somewhat more than one minute. Interestingly, the two animals observed on 22 March 1989 exhibited very similar average surfacing intervals, but with long dives considerably longer than those of the 1987 dolphins (103 and 110 sec). Respiration rates of the latter two animals swimming upriver were about 1.75/min. This is much higher than those of the three to four dolphins of 30 March 1989, which averaged only 1.21/min during their travel, also upriver.

The respiration rates of the two baiji of 22 March 1989 were remarkably similar to that of Qi-Qi, at 1.77/min (Yang et al. 1997). Furthermore, surfacing intervals and long dives of 22 March were quite similar to Qi-Qi’s daytime “general” (non-feeding) surfacings and dives.

Multiple river crossings by the three dolphins of 30 March 1989 were of particular interest. This kind of movement pattern has been observed quite often when animals were travelling upstream (Wang Ding, pers. observ.), and the crossings suggest that the animals were attempting to shorten the distance to be covered, to swim in areas of least current, or both. It is also possible, however, that these crossings of the river were prompted by other factors not related to distance or current. It is even possible that the abrupt changes in direction and river side were related to searching for or following prey. Interactions between the baiji and finless porpoises would also be interesting to study further. Our brief observations suggested a curiosity of finless porpoises toward baiji, but not the reverse. There was no obvious indication of aggressive or competitive interactions.

Finally, we do not wish to attribute too much significance to these brief observations of baiji. They would hardly be worth reporting if there were a reasonable chance to gather more data on swimming, surfacing/diving, and inter-individual affiliations and interactions during different behavioral modes and in different kinds of habitat. Alas, such data may not be easily gathered on this highly endangered species. We hope that the baiji will survive and increase in number, so that our data can serve as a baseline of information from snapshots of the species’ bleakest times.
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